Dear Chairman Cordero and Commissioners:

I am pleased to provide the attached audit report required by the Accountability for Tax Dollars Act of 2002 (ATDA), which presents an unmodified (clean) opinion on the Federal Maritime Commission’s (FMC) fiscal year (FY) 2016 financial statements. The audit results indicate that the FMC has established an internal control structure that facilitates the preparation of reliable financial and performance information. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) commends the FMC for the noteworthy accomplishment of attaining an unmodified opinion.

The OIG contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm of Dembo Jones, P.C. to: perform the audit of the FMC’s financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016; consider internal control over financial reporting; and test the agency’s compliance with certain provisions of applicable laws, regulations, and contracts that could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. The contract required that the audit be performed in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) audit guidance.

In its audit of the FMC, Dembo Jones found: the financial statements were fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles; there were no material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting; and no reportable noncompliance issues with the laws and regulations tested.

In connection with the OIG’s contract, the OIG reviewed Dembo Jones’ report and related documentation and inquired of its representatives. Our review, as differentiated from an audit in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, was not intended to enable the OIG to express, and we do not express, opinions on FMC's financial statements or internal control; or conclusions on compliance with laws and regulations. Dembo Jones is responsible for the attached auditors' report dated November 15, 2016 and the conclusions expressed in the report. However, our review disclosed no instances where Dembo Jones did not comply, in all material respects, with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.
The OIG would like to thank FMC staff; especially the Office of Budget and Finance, for their assistance in helping Dembo Jones and the OIG meet the audit objectives.

Respectfully submitted,

Jon Hatfield
Inspector General

Attachment

cc: Office of the Managing Director
    Office of the General Counsel
    Office of Budget and Finance
Report of Independent Auditors

To Chairman Cordero
Federal Maritime Commission

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Federal Maritime Commission (FMC), which comprise the balance sheet as of September 30, 2016, and the related statements of net cost, changes in net position, budgetary resources, and custodial activity for the year then ended. The financial statements of the Federal Maritime Commission as of September 30, 2015, were audited by other auditors whose report dated November 4, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the U.S. Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 15-02, Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 15-02 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the agency’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the agency’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
Opinion on Financial Statements

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Federal Maritime Commission as of September 30, 2016 and its net costs; changes in net position; budgetary resources; and custodial activity for the year then ended in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Consideration of Internal Control

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Federal Maritime Commission’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures and to comply with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) audit guidance for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control and compliance or on management’s assertion on internal control included in MD&A. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on internal control over financial reporting and compliance or on management's assertion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control over financial reporting or on management’s assertion on internal control included in the MD&A.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, as defined below.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Compliance With Laws and Regulations

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Federal Maritime Commission financial statements are free from material misstatement, we also performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations for fiscal year 2016. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests of compliance disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
Consistency of Other Information

The information in the Management's Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the financial statements, but is supplementary information required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of this information. However, we did not audit this information and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

The information in the Chairman’s Message, Performance Section, and Other Accompanying Information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not required as part of the financial statements. This information has not been subjected to auditing procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Rockville, Maryland
November 15, 2016

Dembo Jones, P.C.