

**JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR.**  
2ND DISTRICT, TENNESSEE

2267 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 205154202  
PHONE (202) 225-5435  
FAX (202) 225-6440

800 MARKET STREET, SUITE 110  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37902  
PHONE (865) 523-3772  
FAX (865) 544-0728

262 EAST BROADWAY  
MARYVILLE, TN 37804-5782  
PHONE (865) 1984-5464  
FAX (865) 984-0521

6 EAST MADISON AVENUE COURTHOUSE  
ATHENS, TN 37303-4297  
PHONE (423) 745-4671  
FAX (423) 745-6025

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-4202

September 17, 2003

COMMITTEES  
TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE  
SUBCOMMITTEES  
WATER RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT-CHAIRMAN  
AVIATION  
HIGHWAYS AND TRANSIT

RESOURCES  
SUBCOMMITTEES  
NATIONAL PARKS, RECREATION, AND PUBLIC LANDS  
FORESTS AND FOREST HEALTH

GOVERNMENT REFORM  
SUBCOMMITTEE  
NATIONAL SECURITY, EMERGING THEATERS AND  
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

RECEIVED  
SEP 29 PM 3:26  
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
CLERK OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Bryant L. VanBrakle  
Secretary  
Federal Maritime Commission  
800 North Capitol Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20573

RE: Petition P3-03

Dear Commissioners:

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act (OSRA)* revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators.

In the late 1990s, most NVOCCS were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers

have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries.

Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes.

By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, remove the advantage currently enjoyed by vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its' utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

With kindest regards, I am

Yours truly,

  
JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR.  
Member of Congress

JJD:br