

**BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

---

**DOCKET NO. 15-04**

---

**CROCUS INVESTMENTS, LLC AND CROCUS, FZE,**

– vs. –

**MARINE TRANSPORT LOGISTICS, INC. AND ALEKSANDR SOLOVYEV  
a/k/a ROYAL FINANCE GROUP INC.**

---


**COMPLAINANTS' STATUS REPORT**

Complainants, by their counsel Marcus A. Nussbaum, Esq. respectfully inform the Commission as to the following:

It has come to the undersigned's attention that the Table of Contents and Table of Authorities to Complainants' Additional Proposed Findings of Fact and Brief, dated October 27, 2016, (and which were submitted contemporaneously with Complainants' Petition to Reopen the proceedings) had been inadvertently omitted from the electronic filing of said documents. While the hard copy version of the documents provided to the Commission and to counsel for respondents is complete, annexed hereto is the electronic version of the Table of Contents and Table of Authorities.

Dated: October 28, 2016  
Brooklyn, New York

Respectfully Submitted,




Marcus A. Nussbaum, Esq.  
P.O. Box 245599  
Brooklyn, NY 11224  
Tel: 888-426-4370  
Fax: 347-572-0439  
Attorney for Complainants  
marcus.nussbaum@gmail.com

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the **COMPLAINANTS' STATUS REPORT** upon Respondents' Counsel at the following address:

Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads, LLP  
437 Madison Ave., 29th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Attn: Stephen H. Vengrow, Esq.  
Attn: Eric Chang, Esq.

by first class mail, postage prepaid, and by email ([echang@mmwr.com](mailto:echang@mmwr.com) and [svengrow@mmwr.com](mailto:svengrow@mmwr.com)).



Marcus A. Nussbaum, Esq.  
P.O. Box 245599  
Brooklyn, NY 11224  
Tel: 888-426-4370  
Fax: 347-572-0439  
Attorney for Complainants  
[marcus.nussbaum@gmail.com](mailto:marcus.nussbaum@gmail.com)

Dated: October 28, 2016 in Brooklyn, New York.

# **APPENDIX “1”**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| ADDITIONAL PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT  | 2  |
| ARGUMENT  | 7  |
| I. RESPONDENT SOLOVYEV HELD HIMSELF OUT AS MANAGER OF MTL, AND HIS EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE AS AN OTI AND QUALIFYING INDIVIDUAL FOR TWO OTHER OTI'S ESTABLISH THAT HE WAS ACTING AS AN OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDER WITHOUT A LICENSE AND IN VIOLATION OF THE SHIPPING ACT | 7  |
| Solovyev Was Intimately Involved in the Activities of MTL and WEC   | 7  |
| Vadim Alper Confirmed That MTL Had Sold the Subject Boats to Complainants and That Solovyev Had Not Acted Merely as "Agent" of MTL  | 8  |
| Alla Solovyeva As Co-Owner and President of MTL Is Also Inextricably Linked To Solovyev and WEC   | 9  |
| Raya Bakhirov as the "General Manager" of WEC during the Subject Time Period Was Under the Control of Solovyev and MTL  | 10 |
| II. SOLOVYEV OPERATED IN THE CAPACITY OF OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDER WITH RESPECT TO THE SUBJECT SHIPMENTS AND IN DOING SO, VIOLATED THE SHIPPING ACT   | 10 |
| While Acting in the Role of OFF, Solovyev Breached His Fiduciary Duty to the Complainants   | 14 |
| Solovyev as OFF for Shipment of the Monterey and Chaparral from the United States to Dubai  | 16 |
| Solovyev as OFF for Shipment of the Monterey and Chaparral from Dubai back to the United States   | 17 |
| The Vessel Bills Of Sale Establish That Solovyev Performed Customs Clearance, Engaged in Unloading and Other OFF Activities for the Subject Boats   | 17 |
| Solovyev, as Freight Forwarder, Breached His Duties to the Complainants With Respect To the Trailer for the Formula   | 19 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| The Fact That the Formula Was Temporarily Placed Into Storage by Complainants Does Not Change the Character of the Shipment - - The Formula Was Destined For Export | 20 |
| Soloveyv's Breach of His Breach of His Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing  | 22 |
| Respondents' Inconsistent Statements in Two Different Forums Regarding the Wire of \$4950.00 from Complainants to the Respondents                                   | 22 |
| III. MTL VIA ITS ALTER EGO WEC VIOLATED THE SHIPPING ACT BY ISSUING INVOICES FOR STORAGE AT RATES CONTRARY TO THEIR POSTED TARIFFS                                  | 25 |
| IV. RESPONDENTS VIOLATED SECTION 41102(C) OF THE SHIPPING ACT   | 27 |
| The Additional Facts Support a Finding of Liability Against Respondent Soloveyv, Both Individually and Under a Veil Piercing Theory                                 | 29 |
| Soloveyv Is Liable Under a Veil Piercing Theory And Should Be Treated As The Alter Ego Of MTL, RFG, and WEC   | 29 |
| Soloveyv Can Be Held Individually Liable Without Veil Piercing  | 33 |
| CONCLUSION  | 34 |

**TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES**

|  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <i>Alexandre Kaminski v. Keystone Limited</i> , (6/23/93, FMC)<br>Informal Docket No. 1739(I)  | 19          |
| <i>Apollo Fuel Oil v. United States</i> , 195 F.3d 74 (2d Cir. 1999)   | 16          |
| <i>Atlantic Coast Line R.R. Co. v. Standard Oil Co.</i> , 275 U.S. 257,<br>48 S. Ct. 107, 110, 72 L. Ed. 270, 275 (1927)                     | 21          |
| <i>Ca' de Be' Imps., Inc. v. Zim-American Israeli Shipping Co.</i> ,<br>2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127, 2006 WL 23547 (E.D. Pa. 2006)             | 14          |
| <i>Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul &amp; Pacific R.R. Co. v. Acme Fast Freight, Inc.</i> ,<br>336 U.S. 465, 69 S. Ct. 692, 93 L. Ed. 817 (1949) | 13-14       |
| <i>Corpco International, Inc. v Straightway, Inc.</i> , (6/8/98, FMC)<br>Docket No. 97-05  | 20          |
| <i>Fed. Ins. Co. v. Great White Fleet (US) LTD.</i> , 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 58461,<br>2009 AMC 447 (S.D.N.Y. 2008)                           | 21-22       |
| <i>General Telephone Company v. United States</i> , 449 F.2d 846, 855<br>(5th Cir. 1971)   | 30          |
| <i>Greeway Plaza Office v. Metro Construction Services</i> , 4 A.D.3d 328,<br>771 NYS2d 532 (2nd Dept. 2004)                                 | 33          |
| <i>In re S. African Apartheid Litig.</i> , 633 F. Supp. 2d 117 (S.D.N.Y. 2009)   | 16          |
| <i>In the Matter of the Status of Matson Agencies, Inc. &amp; Matson<br/>Freight Agencies, Inc.</i> , 22 S.R.R. 752 (1954)                   | 29-30       |
| <i>Jackson's Marina, Inc. v. Jorling</i> , 193 A.D.2d 863, 597 N.Y.S.2d 749 (1993)   | 33          |
| <i>Landstar Express Am., Inc. v. FMC</i> , 569 F.3d 493, 386 U.S. App. D.C. 336,<br>2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 13940 (D.C. Cir. 2009)              | 1, 11       |
| <i>Mansfield Journal Co. v. F.C.C.</i> , 180 F.2d 28 (D.C. Cir. 1950)  | 30          |
| <i>Marine Office Appleton v. Van Wagner, et ano.</i> , 83 AD2d 800, 442 NYS2d 2,<br>(1st Dept. 1981)   | 34          |
| <i>Matter of Arbitration between Holborn Oil Trading Ltd. &amp;<br/>Interpol Bermuda Ltd.</i> , 774 F. Supp. 840 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)             | 30          |

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| <i>N. Carolina Utilities Comm'n v. United States</i> , 253 F. Supp. 930<br>(E.D.N.C. 1966)   | 21     |
| <i>New York Foreign Freight Forwarders and Brokers Ass'n v. Federal Maritime Comm'n</i> , 337 F.2d 289 (2d Cir.1964)   | 15     |
| <i>People of State of New York v. American Motor Club, Inc.</i> , 179 AD2d 277,<br>582 NYS2d 688 (1st Dept. 1992)  | 33     |
| <i>Rose International, Inc. v. Overseas Moving Network International, Ltd., et al.</i> , 29 SRR 119, 1999 WL 361989 (FMC Docket No.: 96-05)<br>(ALJ May 4, 1999) | 29     |
| <i>State of New York v Shore Realty Corp.</i> , 759 F2d 1032 (2d Cir 1985)   | 33     |
| <i>State of Texas v. Anderson, Clayton &amp; Co.</i> , 92 F.2d 104 (5th Cir. 1937)   | 20     |
| <i>State v. Ole Olsen, Ltd.</i> , 35 N.Y.2d 979, 324 N.E.2d 886 (1975)   | 33     |
| <i>Swift Textiles, Inc. v. Watkins Motor Lines, Inc.</i> , 799 F.2d 697 (11th Cir. 1986)   | 20     |
| <i>Tadeusz A. Pawlowicz v. William Allen</i> , (ABU W. Garcia) (6/15/93, FMC)<br>Informal Docket No. 1725(I)   | 27     |
| <i>United States v. American Union Transport, Inc.</i> , 327 U.S. 437, 66 S.Ct. 644,<br>90 L.Ed. 772 (1946)  | 15     |
| <i>United States v. Ventura</i> , 724 F.2d 305, 1983 U.S. App. LEXIS 14524,<br>1984 AMC 645 (2d Cir. 1983)   | 13, 15 |
| <i>Wm. Passalacqua Builders, Inc. v. Resnick Developers S., Inc.</i> , 933 F.2d 131,<br>(2d Cir. 1991)   | 30     |
| <i>Zima Corp. v. M. V. Roman Pazinski</i> , 493 F. Supp. 268 (S.D.N.Y. 1980)   | 13     |

#### AUTHORITIES

|                           |    |
|---------------------------|----|
| 46 CFR §515.2             | 12 |
| 46 U.S.C.S. § 30701–30707 | 21 |
| 46 CFR §515.31            | 23 |