

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 14-02

**OCEANIC BRIDGE INTERNATIONAL, INC. –
POSSIBLE VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 10(a)(1)
OF THE SHIPPING ACT OF 1984**

**BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO RESPOND TO THIRD ORDER
TO SUPPLEMENT**

Pursuant to Rules 69 and 71 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 46 C.F.R. §§502.69 and 71, the Bureau of Enforcement (BOE) files this request for a one week extension of time in which to respond to the Third Order To Supplement The Record issued by the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) on September 24, 2014 (Third Order). In support thereof, BOE respectfully states:

This proceeding is unopposed and pends on BOE's Motion For Decision On Default filed June 13, 2014. Subsequent to BOE's filing, the ALJ issued orders on July 2 and July 11 requiring BOE to submit additional information to which BOE timely complied. On September 24, the ALJ issued the Third Order questioning the accuracy of dates used by BOE witness Michael F. Carley, Director of Field Investigations, in the re-rating of shipments as set forth in Attachment A to his verified statement in support of BOE's Motion For Decision On Default. At issue are 49 shipments dating back to 2010 and 2011 originating in China. The Third Order

directs BOE to respond to 5 questions with respect to the re-rating of the 49 shipments in issue. BOE's response is due October 8.

Upon receipt of the Third Order, the undersigned BOE attorney conferred with Mr. Carley to discuss the availability of the information requested. Mr. Carley advised that certain questions necessitate resort to Maersk Line and promptly undertook to locate appropriate Maersk personnel to discuss the issues raised by the Order, specifically the meaning of the term "gate-in date" and the gate-in date for each shipment. On October 2, Maersk advised Mr. Carley that it could retrieve the requested dates for the containers involved in the 49 shipments. Mr. Carley provided Maersk a list of the shipments identified by Maersk's bill of lading numbers and posed the questions to be answered in order for him to respond to the ALJ's inquiry. Maersk is expected to furnish the requested information this week.

The requested extension is necessary to ensure that upon receipt of the requested information from Maersk, Mr. Carley has sufficient time to analyze the information and determine whether such information would result in any change in the applicable rates and charges set forth in his verified statement and whether, in any event, it would affect the ultimate conclusion that Respondent obtained or attempted to obtain transportation at less than the rates or charges that would otherwise apply. BOE submits that the extension is reasonable given that it cannot respond to the ALJ's inquiry absent information from an entity that is not party to the proceeding and has undertaken to secure that information. Further, as a proceeding in default status, no party will be prejudiced by the requested extension. Nor would the short extension appear to adversely affect the timetable for issuance of an initial decision in the proceeding.

No appearance has been entered on behalf of Respondent in this proceeding. Consequently, BOE has not conferred with it concerning this request.

For all the foregoing reasons, BOE respectfully requests that the date to respond to the Third Order To Supplement be extended to October 15, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter J. King, Director
Brian L. Troiano, Deputy Director
Bureau of Enforcement
Federal Maritime Commission
800 N. Capitol St., N.W.
Washington D.C. 20573

October 6, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon Oceanic Bridge International, Inc., 18725 E. Gale Ave., #233, City of Industry, CA 91748, by first class U.S. mail with postage prepaid this 6th day of October, 2014.



Brian L. Troiano